A SPECIFIC CASE OF APPENDICITIS IN THE ELDERLY – AN EXPERIENCE FOR THE YOUNG CLINICIAN
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Abstract

Appendicitis is an inflammatory disease caused by obstruction of the appendiceal lumen. It represents one of the most common abdominal pathologies in clinical practice. Appendicitis has a well-defined range of signs and symptoms but is harder to diagnose in elderly patients because of less specific symptomatology, a wide differential diagnosis, and communication problems. The perforation rate in the elderly is 50–70%, rising with age (particularly above age 80), compared with a perforation rate of 20–30% in the general population, suggesting that symptoms may appear mild while the case may actually be severe. With rising life expectancy and thus an increase in elderly patients presenting with appendicitis symptoms, and to further the experience of the young clinician, we report the case of an 88-year-old woman who was admitted to the hospital’s emergency ward, with acute hypogastric pain that radiated to the back and to the entire abdomen. Appendicitis was diagnosed, followed by surgical procedure and the patient recovered well.
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Introduction

Appendicitis is an inflammatory disease caused mainly by obstruction of the appendiceal lumen. The obstruction can be caused by fecoliths, hypertrophy of lymphoid tissue, inspissated barium, tumors, vegetable and fruit seeds, and intestinal parasites.

Epidemiological data shows that it is a disease of the young (40% of cases occur in patients aged between 10 and 29 years) with a slight male to female predominance (1.2 to 1.3:1) [1,2].

The prime symptom of acute appendicitis is abdominal pain, diffusely centered in the lower epigastrium or umbilical area, which later localizes to the right lower quadrant. It is usually accompanied by weight loss (anorexia) and vomiting.

The physical examination shows that the patient prefers to lie supine, with the thighs drawn up (particularly the right thigh). The classic right lower quadrant physical signs are present (psoas sign, obturator sign, McBurney, Rovsing,). Cutaneous hyperesthesia, muscular resistance to palpation of the abdominal, or reflex muscular rigidity, due to peritoneal inflammation, are also found. Furthermore, temperature may be elevated but is rarely >1°C [3].
Laboratory findings show mild leukocytosis, from 10k to 18k cells / mm³ (80–85% of adults with appendicitis have a white blood cell (WBC) count greater than 10,500 cells/µL). Usually WBC tends to be below 18k if uncomplicated [4,5].

Imaging studies consisting of plain radiography or barium enema are frequently obtained as part of the evaluation of the patient but are rarely helpful in diagnosing acute appendicitis. Selective use of CT scanning must also be considered. Ultrasonography is an accurate as well as time and cost efficient testing method. The increased use of ultrasonography, computed tomography and laparoscopy have not, however, reduced the rate of misdiagnosis of appendicitis (15.3%) and the rate of appendiceal rupture.

Clinical scoring systems (Mantrels, Alvarado) are frequently used by young clinicians to diagnose acute appendicitis because of their simplicity. (Tabel 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mantrels Scoring System Characteristic</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M: Migration of pain to right lower quadrant</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A: Anorexia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N: Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T: Tenderness in right lower quadrant</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R: Rebound pain</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E: Elevated temperature</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L: Leukocytosis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S: Shift of white blood cell count to the left</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabel 1 - Mantrels Scoring System Characteristic

McKay and Shepherd recommended that patients with a Mantrels score of 0–3 could be discharged without imaging, that those with scores of 7 or above receive surgical consultation, and those with scores of 4–6 undergo CT evaluation [6].

When considering elderly patients, the young clinician must be mindful that the classic periumbilical pain migrating to the right lower quadrant is infrequently reported. Elderly patients may present with lower abdominal pain, but localized right lower quadrant tenderness is present in only 80 – 90% of patients. The usefulness of the Alvarado score appears to diminish in the elderly: fewer than 50% of the elderly with appendicitis have an Alvarado score of ≥7.66. Although there are currently no criteria that definitively identify elderly patients with acute appendicitis who are at risk of rupture, the condition must be considered for those with a temperature of >38°C (100.4°F) and a shift to the left in leukocyte count of >76%, particularly if they are male, losing weight (anorexic), or with pain of long duration before admission [7]. Moreover, the increase in age (particularly above age 80) also represents an increase in the perforation rate [8].

**Case presentation**

We report the case of an 88-year old woman who was admitted to the hospital’s emergency ward with acute hypogastric pain that radiated to the back and to the entire abdomen. The pain had appeared a month prior and had progressed in intensity until hospitalization. The pain did not disappear after administering NSAIDs. The patient had also not had a bowel movement for three days before admission.

The clinical examination showed periumbilical erythema (Figure 1) that extended towards the flanks, abdominal tenderness, reduced thoracic expansions, lumbar and thoracic spondylosis and scoliosis, a well-represented fatty tissue and bilateral leg edema. Because of the pain, the patient remained in a constant rigid position with her thighs slightly flexed on her body.

The signs and symptoms thus far suggested an infectious etiology, making the physician also consider erysipelas, cellulitis, parietal necrosis.

A full blood count was done first; the analysis showed that the patient was suffering from sepsis at the time of admission. (WBC 23K, NE 17K, serum glucose 138mg/dl, CRP 141 mg/L) [9].

A plain abdominal radiography showed some air fluid levels on the intestine though not generalized. An ultrasonographic study showed a 4–6cm hypoechoic mass (Figure 2), which was behind and had infiltrated the abdominal muscles, blocking the aspect of the tissues behind it.
Thus far the laboratory findings and imaging studies supported our clinical diagnosis of appendicitis, as well as adding the possibility of a parietal abscess based on the ultrasonographic findings.

Preoperative antibiotherapy was administered. The patient was put under general anesthesia. An urgent exploratory laparotomy was performed. The midline incision, which avoided the umbilical scar, was filled by yellow opalescent liquid (Figure 3), also necrosis and inflammation of the surrounding tissue could be seen. A sample was taken for further analysis.

After evaluating and, considering the affected umbilical scar, the operating surgeons removed the affected tissue (Figure 4). The entire incision was also extended in a caudal fashion because of the extent of the lesion.

After entering the peritoneal cavity, a necrotic mass could be seen (Figure 5), containing parts of the great omentum, ileum, mezoileum, and fatty tissue. From between the affected tissue a thick yellow secretion could be seen.
At this stage of the procedure the surgeons were considering an enteral necrosis consequent to a tumoral process. Carefully analyzing along the length of the lesion, a cecal perforation was found and next to it, a gangrenous appendix.

After suturing the cecal defect (Figure 6) caused by the fistula and the removal of the appendix, the afferent and efferent viable parts of the ileum were identified. The afferent side of the ileum was ligatured and a transversal incision was made. Afterwards, using a Ligasure device, the entire mesentery of the affected ileum was dissected, up to the efferent viable part (Figure 7).

Following the resection, an ileostoma was made from the afferent side of the ileum (Figure 9). Drainage tubes were placed in the Douglas pouch, the affected muscle tissue was resected, and a multilayer manual suture was performed.
Postoperative recovery

By the end of the procedure the ileostoma already presented intestinal transit. The patient recovered slowly. Following the operative procedure, antibiotherapy was induced (the antibiogram revealed sensitive group B streptococcus). Drainage on the tubes was minimum and the WBC reached 18K the following day and 9K on the fourth day. The CRP reached 200 mg/L the following day and dropped below 100mg/L on the fourth day. The patient was discharged 14 days after admission.

Discussions

During the clinical diagnostic stage the patient’s Alvarado score was five, but the consideration in this case was that an emergency procedure outweighed a diagnostic CT examination.

The anesthesia risk was considered, especially considering the age of the patient, the old bilateral leg edema, and that the patient was not under any medication (although the patient was later found to be suffering from diabetes, hypertension, atrial fibrillation and Alzheimer’s disease). An epidural approach was unsuccessful as a result of the antalgic posture of the patient and the vertebral column deformations.

In considering the operative procedure, and although elderly patients benefit from a laparoscopic approach, in this case, the operative team decided that an open approach would be better suited because of the tenderness of the abdomen. The use of laparoscopy in the elderly has nevertheless significantly increased in recent years. Though this aspect is still debatable, laparoscopic appendectomy offers elderly patients with appendicitis a shorter length of hospital stay, fewer complications and lower mortality rates [10].

The surgical procedure and the histopathologic evaluation confirmed the diagnosis as phlegmonous appendicitis with a focal periappendicular abscess that fused in the mesentery, greater omentum, anterior abdominal muscles with a tendency of cutaneous fistulization.

A differential diagnosis was made of colonic carcinoma, abdominal abscess, mesenteric ischemia, abdominal abscess.

Compared with younger people with appendicitis the same pathology in the elderly is met with increased comorbidities, increased rates of perforation, postoperative morbidity, mortality, and hospital length of stay. Postoperative care is essential for a favorable recovery and outcome in elderly patients who present with a complicated case of acute appendicitis. In our reported case, the patient recovered well, with a good aspect of the ileostoma; bearing in mind the quality of life relative to the patient’s age, a reintervention for an ileo-ileo anastomosis was to be considered.

Conclusions

The young clinician must bear in mind that even if appendicitis is a common surgical emergency and one of the most common causes of abdominal pain, the symptomatology is irregular, especially in the elderly (and also in young patients).

It is also worth noting that there are cases of appendicitis in the elderly in which an emergency intervention outweighs a diagnostic CT scan (even with the current rising trend of CT diagnostics, and regardless of the Alvarado score).

Even though the operating procedure for an appendectomy is well established, and considered to be a routine procedure, it is by no means regular yet alone untroubled. For the symptomatology may appear mild while at the same time the severity of the case may be increased.
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